



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

%

Date of Decision : 28.05.2025+ **W.P.(C) 140/2023****IREO GRACE REALTECH PVT. LTD.**

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Ruchesh Sinha, Ms Monalisa Maity, Mr Aakash Saini, and Ms Shilpa Chaudhary, Advocates.

versus

ACIT (OSD), NEW DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr Abhishek Maratha, SSC, Mr Apoorv Agarwal, Mr Parth Samwal, JSCs, Ms Nupur Sharma, Mr Gaurav Singh, Ms Muskaan Goel, Mr Bhanukaran Singh Jodha, Advocates for the Revenue

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA****VIBHU BAKHRU, J. (ORAL)****CM APPL 32558/2025(early hearing)**

1. For the reasons stated in the application, the early hearing is allowed.
2. The petition is taken up for hearing today.
3. The hearing scheduled on 29.08.2025 is cancelled.



4. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 140/2023

5. The petitioner has filed the present petition, *inter alia*, impugning an order dated 30.07.2022 [**impugned order**] passed under Section 148A(d) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 [**the Act**] along with the notice dated 30.07.2022 [**impugned notice**] issued under Section 148 of the Act and further proceedings in respect of assessment year [**AY**] 2015-16.

6. The petitioner is a company and had filed its return of income for the AY 2015-16 on 30.09.2015, declaring a loss of ₹3,69,98,273/-.

7. The Assessing Officer [**AO**] issued a notice dated 30.06.2021 under Section 148 of the Act seeking to reopen the assessment for AY 2015-16. Although the said notice was issued after 30.03.2021, the procedure as prescribed under Section 148A of the Act was not followed, as the notice was premised on the provisions relating to reassessment as were in force prior to 31.03.2021.

8. Thereafter, by a communication dated 16.05.2022, the AO referred to the decision of the Supreme Court in ***Union of India & Ors. v. Ashish Agarwal: (2022) 444 ITR 1*** and forwarded certain information, which, according to the AO, suggested that the petitioner's income had escaped assessment. The said communication also mentioned that in terms of the aforementioned decision in ***Union of India & Ors. v. Ashish Agarwal (supra)***, the notice dated 30.06.2021 issued under Section 148 of the Act was deemed to be a notice under Section 148A(b) of the Act. The petitioner



responded to the said notice on 01.06.2022.

9. The AO passed an order dated 30.07.2022 under Section 148A(d) of the Act holding that it was a fit case for issuance of a notice under Section 148 of the Act in respect of AY 2015-16. The said order was forwarded to the petitioner along with a notice dated 30.07.2022 issued under Section 148 of the Act.

10. The petitioner contends that the proceedings initiated pursuant to the impugned notice dated 30.07.2022 are required to be set aside in view of the concession made by the Revenue before the Supreme Court in ***Union of India and Ors. v. Rajeev Bansal: 2024 INSC 754***.

11. It is relevant to refer to paragraph 19(e) and 19(f) from the decision of the Supreme Court in ***Union of India and Ors. v. Rajeev Bansal (supra)***, which sets out the concession as made on behalf of the Revenue:

“e. The Finance Act 2021 substituted the old regime for re-assessment with a new regime. The first proviso to Section 149 does not expressly bar the application of TOLA. Section 3 of TOLA applies to the entire Income-tax Act, including Sections 149 and 151 of the new regime. Once the first proviso to Section 149(1)(b) is read with TOLA, then all the notices issued between 1 April 2021 and 30 June 2021 pertaining to assessment years 2013-14, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 will be within the period of limitation as explained in the tabulation below:

Assessment year	Within 3 Years	Expiry of Limitation read with TOLA for (2)	Within six Years	Expiry of Limitation read with TOLA for (4)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
2013-2014	31-3-2017	TOLA not	31-3-2020	30-6-2021



		applicable		
2014-2015	31-3-2018	TOLA not applicable	31-3-2021	30-6-2021
2015-2016	31-3-2019	TOLA not applicable	31-3-2022	TOLA not applicable
2016-17	31-3-2020	30-6-2021	31-3-2023	TOLA not applicable
2017-2018	31-3-2021	30-6-2021	31-3-2024	TOLA not applicable

f. The Revenue concedes that for the assessment year 2015-16, all notices issued on or after 1 April 2021 will have to be dropped as they will not fall for completion during the period prescribed under TOLA;”

12. In view of the above concession, the impugned notice and the proceedings relating thereto are required to be set aside. We may also note the decision of the Supreme Court in **Deepak Steel and Power Ltd. v. Central Board of Direct Taxes and Ors.: Civil Appeal No.5177/2025, decided on 02.04.2025**. The said appeal arose from orders passed by the Hon’ble High Court of Orissa and Cuttack declining to entertain batch of petitions filed by the Assessees. The attention of the Supreme Court was drawn to the concession made on behalf of the Revenue in **Union of India & Ors. v. Rajeev Bansal (supra)** and noting the same, the Supreme Court allowed the appeals. The relevant extract of the said decision is set out below:

“4. The learned counsel appearing for the revenue with his usual fairness invited the attention of this Court to a three judge bench decision of this Court in Union of India and Ors. v. Rajeev Bansal, reported in 2024 SCC OnLine SC 2693, more particularly, paragraph 19(f) which reads thus:-

“19. (f) The Revenue concedes that for the assessment year 2015-2016, all notices issued on or after April 1, 2021 will have to be dropped as they



will not fall for completion during the period prescribed under the Taxation and other Laws (Relaxation and Amendment of Certain Provisions) Act, 2020.”

5. As the revenue made a concession in the aforesaid decision that is for the assessment year 2015-2016, all notices issued on or after 1st April, 2021 will have to be dropped as they would not fall for completion during the period prescribed under the taxation and other laws (Relaxation and Amendment of certain Provisions Act, 2020). Nothing further is required to be adjudicated in this matter as the notices so far as the present litigation is concerned is dated 25.6.2021.
6. In view of the aforesaid, in such circumstances referred to above the original writ petition nos.2446 of 2023, 2543 of 2023 and 2544 of 2023 respectively filed before the High Court of Orissa at cuttack stands allowed.
13. The notice dated 30.07.2022 issued under Section 148 of the Act stands quashed and set aside. Concededly, the controversy is covered in favour of the petitioner by the decision of this Court in ***Makemytrip India Pvt. Ltd. v. Deputy Commissioner of Income Tax Circle 16 (1) Delhi & Anr.: Neutral Citation No.: 2025:DHC:1892-DB.***
14. The petition is, accordingly, allowed and all proceedings initiated pursuant thereto are set aside.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MAY 28, 2025

M

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)