HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

14 November, 2025

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1916 of 2021

Rampal --Petitioner

Versus

M/s Lakshmi Sugar Mills Company Ltd. –Respondent

With

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1759 of 2021

Anand Prakash --Petitioner

Versus

M/s Lakshmi Sugar Mills Company Ltd. –Respondent

With

Writ Petition Misc. Single No.1966 of 2021

Yaman Kumar --Petitioner

Versus

M/s Lakshmi Sugar Mills Company Ltd. –Respondent

Presence:-

Mr. Ashutosh Thakral, learned counsel for petitioner-Rampal, appeared through video conferencing.

Mr. V.K. Kaparuwan and Mr. Krishan Mohan Joshi, learned counsel for petitioner-Anand Prakash.

Mr. Pankaj Miglani, learned counsel for petitioner-Yaman Kumar, appeared through video conferencing.

Mr. S.S. Yadav, learned counsel for respondent.

Hon'ble Pankaj Purohit, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 12.11.2025, this Court has directed learned counsel for petitioners to make a statement before this Court as to whether the controversy in these writ petitions is covered by the

judgment and order dated 28.09.2021 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in WPMS No.1995 of 2021 *Ramkripal Sah Vs. M/s Lakshmi Sugar Mills Company Ltd.*, and Batch, or not.

2. Today, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* to submit that the controversy involved in these writ petitions are squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment and order dated 28.09.2021 passed in WPMS No.1995 of 2021 and Batch.

3. Accordingly, these writ petitions are dismissed in the light of judgment and order dated 28.09.2021 passed by Coordinate Bench of this Court in WPMS No.1995 of 2021 *Ramkripal Sah Vs. M/s Lakshmi Sugar Mills Company Ltd.*, and Batch.

4. Pending application(s), if any, in all these writ petitions stands disposed of.

(**Pankaj Purohit, J**.)
14.11.2025

PN