

HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1031 of 2025 (S/S)

Dev Veer Singh and OthersPetitioners

Vs.

State of Uttarakhand and others Respondents

Present : Mr. Sagar Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Narayan Dutt, Standing Counsel for the State/respondent no.1.
Mr. Satendra Lingwal, Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3, through
video conferencing.

JUDGMENT

Hon'ble Ravindra Maithani, J. (Oral)

By means of the instant petition, the
petitioners seek the following reliefs:-

- “i) A writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents to treat the entire services of the petitioners as daily wage with effect from their initial date of appointment and consequently consider their claim for regularization on the post occupied by them or on any other equivalent posts w.e.f. the date of their initial engagement and pay them all consequential benefits.
- ii) Any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper under the facts and circumstances of the case.
- iii) Award the cost of the Writ Petition to the present petitioners.”

2. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

3. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the matter is squarely

covered by the judgment dated 06.01.2025, in bunch of writ petitions, leading one WPSS No.436 of 2024, Ajay Kumar and others vs. State of Uttarakhand and others.

4. This fact is admitted by the learned counsel for the respondents.

5. Since the matter is covered, instant petition is decided in terms of the judgment dated 06.01.2025, passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in WPSS No.436 of 2024, Ajay Kumar and others vs. State of Uttarakhand and others; and connected cases.

(Ravindra Maithani, J.)
26.06.2025

Ravi Bisht