SL. No.	Date	Office Notes, reports, orders or proceedings or directions and Registrar's order with Signatures	COURT'S OR JUDGE'S ORDERS
			WPSS 1922/2025 WPSS 1923/2025 WPSS 1927/2025 WPSS 934/2025 Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J. Mr. Mahesh Bhatt, Advocate, for the petitioner. Mr. Lalit Samant, Advocate, for the respondents. (2) Petitioners were employees of Uttarakhand Transport Corporation. After their retirement, recovery orders were passed against them by the Regional General Manager (Operations) on different dates. In the recovery orders, it was mentioned that excess payment was made to petitioners due to mistake in their pay fixation while they were in employment. (3) Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the law declared by Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Punjab v. Rafiq Masih, reported as (2015) 4 SCC 334, no recovery can be made from Group 'C' and Group 'D' employees and further that after retirement, recovery cannot be ordered. (4) Learned Counsel for the Uttarakhand Transport Corporation submits that petitioners may be permitted to make representation to the Managing Director of the Corporation, who shall take decision thereupon. (5) Writ petitions are, accordingly, disposed of by permitting the petitioners to make representation to Managing Director against the recovery ordered from them. If petitioners make such representation within

two weeks from today, Managing Director shall take decision thereupon, as per law, within three months thereafter.

(Manoj Kumar Tiwari, J.)

18.11.2025
Pr

PRABODH KUMAR