



HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. G. NARENDAR
AND

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE ALOK MAHRA
15th MAY, 2025

WRIT PETITION (M/B) NO. 231 OF 2025

Dan Singh RathaurPetitioner

Versus

Assistant Commissioner SGST and another. ..Respondents

WRIT PETITION (M/B) NO. 232 OF 2025

Dan Singh RathaurPetitioner

Versus

Assistant Commissioner SGST and another. ..Respondents

WRIT PETITION (M/B) NO. 233 OF 2025

Dan Singh RathaurPetitioner

Versus

Assistant Commissioner SGST and another. ..Respondents

&

WRIT PETITION (M/B) NO. 234 OF 2025

Dan Singh RathaurPetitioner

Versus

Assistant Commissioner SGST and another. ..Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner	:	Mr. Rohit Arora, learned counsel.
Counsel for the State	:	Mr. Puja Banga, learned Brief Holder.
Counsel for respondent No.2	:	Mr. Siddharth Sah, learned counsel.

JUDGMENT :(per Mr. G. Narendar, C.J.)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that errors have crept-in in filing of the writ petitions and hence, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to withdraw the writ petitions and re-file the same after correcting the errors in the pleadings and for production of the



documents, including the impugned order.

2. The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is placed on record.

3. The writ petitions are dismissed as withdrawn by reserving the liberty as prayed for to file writ petitions on the same cause of action, subject to the petitioner filing fresh writ petitions within three weeks.

4. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

5. There shall be no order as to costs.

G. NARENDAR, C.J.

ALOK MAHRA, J.

Dt: 15th May, 2025

Rathour

PRAVINDR
A SINGH
RATHOUR

