



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-72470-2025
Date of Decision:22.12.2025**

Arjun Singh and another		...Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Punjab		...Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr.K.S.Siwach, Advocate for petitioner
Mr.J.S.Rattu, DAG, Punjab

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

Petitioners-Arjun Singh and Sumitra Rani have filed this petition under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.76 dated 14.4.2025 under Section 498A of IPC registered at Police Station City-1 Abohar, District Fazilka.

Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr.Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned counsel for State referred to the order dated 9.10.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka. He pointed out that the anticipatory bail application has not been decided by

**CRM-M-72470-2025****:2:**

the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fazilka. Relevant paragraph of the aforesaid bail order runs as under:-

“4. During the course of arguments, a copy of order dated 02.09.2025 pronounced by the court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Abohar in COMA-74-2025 has been produced, the perusal of which shows that vide said order, the case has been referred to Medication Center. The report of Mediation Center is awaited for 23.10.2025. Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been referred to the Mediation Centre for amicable settlement between the parties and mediation report is awaited, it is ordered that the arrest of the applicants-accused shall remain stayed till the conclusion of the mediation proceedings before Mediation and Conciliation Center. However, it is made clear that this Court has only stayed arrest of the applicants-accused and it will not give them any right to join the investigation. With such directions, the application stands disposed off. File be consigned to the record room.”

Aforesaid order indicates that the arrest of the applicants/accused shall remain stayed till conclusion of mediation proceedings before Mediation and Conciliation Centre. Only the arrest was stayed without any direction to join the investigation. By passing the aforesaid vague order, the application has



CRM-M-72470-2025

:3:

been disposed of. There is no clear-cut finding as to whether the anticipatory bail application is rejected or accepted. At this stage, learned counsel for petitioners states that he wants to withdraw present anticipatory bail petition with liberty to approach the Sessions Court seeking appropriate remedy. In view of the above statement, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

22.12.2025
MFK

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No