



202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-73314-2025
DECIDED ON: 31.12.2025

SUKHPAWAN SINGH ALIAS SUKH

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anoop Verma, Advocate for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Rajiv Verma, Addl. AG. Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)CRM-52796-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Exemption from filing the certified copies of Annexures P-1 to P-3 is
granted.

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 236 dated 24.11.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 190, 191(3), registered at Police Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural, Punjab.

2 Contention

On behalf of the petitioner

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that a perusal of the FIR would reveal that the sole allegation against the petitioner is that he is alleged to have inflicted a single blow with a *khanda* on the left-hand fingers of the

complainant. Learned counsel further undertakes, on behalf of the petitioner, that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

On behalf of the State/complainant

Status report by way of an affidavit of Jaswinder Singh, PPS, DSP, Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural) on behalf of respondent/State is taken on record. He fails to substantiate the alleged offence under Section 118(1) of BNS as to how the same has been added in the FIR.

3. **Analysis**

A perusal of the FIR indicates that the specific allegation attributed to the petitioner is confined to the infliction of a single blow with a *khanda* on the left-hand fingers of the complainant. There is no allegation of repeated assault or of causing injury to any vital part of the body. The petitioner has undertaken to cooperate with the investigation and to join the same as and when required.

In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that custodial interrogation of the petitioner does not appear to be necessary.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioner is directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to his joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under subsection (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.'

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner does not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

31.12.2025

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No