

2025:PHHC:179490



202-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-73645-2025 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: 31.12.2025**

**ASMAAN MOHAMMAD ALIAS BANDU ALIAS ASLIM MOHAMMAD
AND ANR**

.....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Anoop Verma , Advocate for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Rajiv Verma, Addl. AG. Punjab

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-53083-2025

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Exemption from filing the certified copies of Annexures P-1 to P-4 is
granted.

1. Prayer

The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Section 482
BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No. 236 dated
24.11.2025 under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 190, 191(3), registered at Police
Station Sadar Jagraon, District Ludhiana Rural, Punjab.

2 Contention**On behalf of the petitioner**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that a perusal of the FIR would reveal that the sole allegation against the petitioners is that the petitioner No.1 is alleged to have inflicted a single blow with *baseblow* on the back of the complainant and petitioner No.2 has been inflicted Khanda blow on the back left knee of the complainant. Learned counsel further undertakes, on behalf of the petitioners, that they are ready and willing to join the investigation.

On behalf of the State/complainant

Status report by way of an affidavit of Jaswinder Singh, PPS, DSP, Jagraon, District Ludhiana (Rural) on behalf of respondent/State is taken on record. He fails to substantiate the alleged offence under Section 118(1) of BNS as to how the same has been added in the FIR.

3. Analysis

This Court has carefully considered the submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioners and perused the FIR as well as the material on record. On a close examination of the FIR, it is observed that the allegations against the petitioners are confined and specific in nature. Petitioner No.1 is alleged to have inflicted a single blow with a baseball bat on the back of the complainant, whereas Petitioner No.2 is alleged to have struck the complainant with a *khanda* on the back of the left knee. There is no allegation of repeated assault, grievous injury to vital parts of the body, or any attempt on life. The petitioners have undertaken to cooperate with the investigation and to join the same as and when required.

4. **Relief:-**

Hence, the petitioners are directed to be released on anticipatory bail subject to their joining investigation with the Investigating Officer concerned within a period of one week from today, on furnishing of personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, which are reproduced below:-

‘When the High Court or the Court of Session makes a direction under sub-section (1), it may include such conditions in such directions in the light of the facts of the particular case, as it may think fit, including-

(i) a condition that the person shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii) a condition that the person shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;

(iii) a condition that the person shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court;

(iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.’

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioners do not comply with the aforesaid direction of joining the investigation within a period of one week, the order passed by this Court today shall automatically stands cancelled.

In the aforesaid terms, the present petition stands allowed.

31.12.2025

Meenu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No

Whether reportable :Yes/No