



CRM-M-71991-2025 (O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-71991-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.12.2025

Chatar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manoj Kumar Sood, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. B. S. Saroha, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0287 dated 19.08.2024, registered under Sections 190, 191(3), 103 (1) and 238 of BNS Act, 2023 at Police Station Sadar Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for 07 months and 05 days. His name surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Ramesh Tiwari. As per the allegations, he has been attributed fist and kick blows to the deceased and similarly circumstanced co-accused Satbir has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 03.12.2025, after being in custody for 5 months and 9 days. Charges have been framed on 12.09.2025, however, 03 out of 35 prosecution witnesses including eye witness and the complainant have been examined, who have turned hostile. He is not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations levelled against him by his co-accused in the disclosure



CRM-M-71991-2025 (O&M) 2

statement. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the trial, co-accused enlarged on bail and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

4. Heard.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for 07 months and 05 days; co-accused is on bail; not involved in any other case; charges were framed on 12.09.2025, however, 03 witnesses including the complainant and eye witnesses stand examined, however, there are still 32 to go, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

6. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not



change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.

- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

7. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

8. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

24.12.2025
dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No