

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

154

CRWP-13635-2025
Decided on : 18.12.2025

Tannu and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Tannu and Himanshu, petitioners No. 1 and 2 in person

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Tannu	Himanshu
Age (in years)	23 years	22 years
Date of marriage	09.12.2025	
Rite	Hindu rites	
Represented on	14.12.2025	
Submitted to	Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, District Rohtak	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 10	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first



CRWP-13635-2025

marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 14.12.2025 (Annexure P-5), to **respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, District Rohtak**, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Considering the nature of the prayer made in the present petition, and the fact that the members of the Bar including respective counsel appearing for the State, are abstaining from work today, this Court is of the considered view that this petition can be disposed of, *in limine* without issuing notice to the respondent/State..

4. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to **respondent No.2 – Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, District Rohtak** to look into the representation dated 14.12.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

5. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

December 18, 2025

reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No