

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CRWP-13620-2025
Decided on : 18.12.2025

Amanpreet Kaur and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : None.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Amanpreet Kaur	Shavinder Kumar
Age (in years)	19 years	29 years
Date of marriage	12.12.2025	
Rite	Hindu rites	
Represented on	12.12.2025	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka/Jalandhar	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.6 to 8	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first



CRWP-13620-2025

2

marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 12.12.2025 (Annexure P-5), to **respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka/Jalandhar**, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Considering the nature of the prayer made in the present petition, and the fact that the members of the Bar including respective counsel appearing for the State, are abstaining from work today, this Court is of the considered view that this petition can be disposed of, *in limine* without issuing notice to the respondent/State..

4. In view of the above, the present petition is **disposed of** with a direction to **respondent No.2–Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka/Jalandhar**, to look into the representation dated 12.12.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

5. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

December 18, 2025

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No