



217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-9548-2025

Date of decision: 23.12.2025

M/s Navyug Gram Udyog Samiti (Regd.) and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Harjinder Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for directing the lower Appellate Court to decide the stay application (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner immediately pending before the lower Appellate Court at Patiala in case bearing No.CA-406-2025 titled as “M/s Navyug Gram Udyog Samiti (Regd.) and another Vs. Harjinder Singh and others”.

2. On 18.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Present: Petitioner No.2 in person.

Petitioner appearing in person has submitted that against the judgment dated 04.09.2025, the petitioner had filed an appeal before the First Appellate Court along with stay application in which the First Appellate Court vide order



dated 09.10.2025, after noticing that there are arguable points in the appeal, had issued notice of motion. It is further submitted that Sh. G.S. Dhillon, Advocate, had appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 on 19.11.2025 and the case has been adjourned to 28.01.2026 for arguments and the record of the trial Court has been requisitioned. It is further submitted that in the meanwhile the respondents-decree holders have filed an execution application in which vide order dated 18.11.2025, warrant of possession had been issued and thus, the respondents are wanting to seek possession of the premises, although the first statutory appeal filed by the petitioners is pending. It has fairly been submitted that the rent is due against the petitioners and has stated that he will bring an amount of ₹50,000/- on the next date of hearing on account of part payment of the said rent. It is further stated that at least till the first statutory appeal is decided by the First Appellate Court or the stay application is decided by the Appellate Court, the dispossession of the petitioner be stayed.

Notice of motion for 23.12.2025.

Liberty is granted to the petitioners to serve the respondents through their counsel appearing before the Executing Court as well as their counsel before the First Appellate Court.

As undertaken before this Court the petitioner would bring the amount of ₹50,000/- to be paid to the respondents.

Till the next date of hearing, status quo with regard to possession be maintained.

To be taken up immediately after the urgent list.

December 18th, 2025”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has brought an amount of Rs.50,000/- in cash today in the Court which has been handed over to learned counsel for the respondents who has further given the same to



respondent No.4 who is present in the Court.

4. During the course of arguments, a consensus has been arrived at between the parties, as per which, the present revision petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- i) The First Appellate Court before whom the appeal is pending is requested to decide the appeal filed by the petitioners against the judgment and decree dated 04.09.2025 as expeditiously as possible. Counsels for both the parties have undertaken before this Court that they will fully assist the First Appellate Court in disposal of the case and would not seek unnecessary adjournments.
- ii) Till the time the appeal is decided, interim order dated 18.12.2025 would continue but the grant of the said interim order and continuance of the same should not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the First Appellate Court would decide the case independently, in accordance with law.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

23.12.2025

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No