



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-71025-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.12.2025

Pawan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0091 dated 10.04.2025, registered under Sections 316(5), 318(4) and 238 of BNS, at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonipat.

2. On 16.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“In view of the letter circulated by the Bar Association, advocate is abstaining from work today.

As is apparent from the paper-book, name of the petitioner has been surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused, who is stated to be in custody and against him, the allegations are of having misappropriated the govt, funds, while he was working as cashier in the bank. The petitioner is merely stated to have been asked by the co-accused to hand over the money to his wife, which shall be deposited by him before the concerned Court, subject to outcome of the case and thus, in no manner, is connected with the allegations that are levelled against the main accused. He is not involved in any other case FIR as stated in para 21 of the petition and in para 19 that he is ready to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion for 23.12.2025.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 20.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023. He shall

also deposit the amount before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, as undertaken above.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Satya Narayan affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 16.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

23.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No