



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-71285-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.12.2025

Arshdeep Singh @ Anu

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. M.K. Dhot, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.41 dated 03.03.2025, registered for offence(s) under Section(s) 22, 27 (Section 29 added later on) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bathinda.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has been in custody since 03.03.2025. He contends that the case is of the petitioner is similar to co-accused/Visakha Singh, who has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 08.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-67895-2025 titled as 'Visakha Singh Vs. State of Punjab'. He further contends that the sole point of distinction is that the petitioner is stated to have criminal antecedents, whereas the co-accused/Visakha Singh had no such antecedents.



217

CRM-M-71285-2025 (O&M)

3. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute that insofar as the factual matrix of the present case is concerned, it stands on the same footing as that of the co-accused Visakha Singh, who has already been extended the concession of regular bail by this Court.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed keeping in view the parity of role attributed to the petitioner with that of the co-accused/Visakha Singh, who has already been extended the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 08.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-67895-2025 titled *Visakha Singh v. State of Punjab*.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.12.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No