



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CR No. 9389 of 2025

Date of Decision : 23.12.2025

Inderjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurpal Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed by the petitioner-applicant under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting-aside the order dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala in CM-9-2022, whereby the evidence of the petitioner-applicant was closed by order.

2. Briefly, the facts are that the petitioner-applicant filed an application for initiating contempt proceedings under Order 39 Rule 2A read with Section 151 CPC for violating and ignoring the injunction order dated 01.12.2021 passed by learned trial Court. During the pendency of the aforesaid contempt proceedings, the learned trial Court had closed the evidence of applicant by order of the Court vide its order dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-2). In the impugned order itself, it was held by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala that since the applicant has availed numerous opportunities but he failed to conclude his evidence, hence no



justification is made out to further adjourn the case and accordingly, the evidence of the applicant was closed by order and the case has been adjourned for 20.09.2025 for evidence of respondent, hence the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, applicant had taken numerous effective opportunities for leading his evidence but he failed to do so. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the applicant has a valuable interest involved in the lis and prays for one effective opportunity to conclude his entire evidence and submits that if an opportunity has not been granted to the applicant to conclude his evidence, he will suffer an irreparable loss.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the paper book.

6. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondent is dispensed with, as it would only delay the proceedings and cause unnecessary expenditure to the respondents.

7. Having considered the submissions and the material on record, this Court is of the view that the applicant should be given one more opportunity to conclude his entire evidence for the proper and effective adjudication of the case.

8. Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The impugned order dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-2) passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala is hereby set aside, subject to the applicant depositing costs of ₹5,000/- with the District Legal Services Authority, Ajnala.



9. The applicant shall appear before the learned trial Court on the date fixed and on his production of receipt qua deposition of costs, the trial Court shall grant one effective opportunity to the applicant to conclude his entire evidence.

10. The Registry is directed to forward a copy of this order to learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala for compliance.

December 23, 2025
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No