



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CRM-M-70336-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.12.2025

KRISHAN

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Lalit Kumar Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana
for the respondent-State.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-50532-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for and Annexures P-1 to P-8
are taken on record.

CRM-M-70336-2025

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 359 dated 20.09.2024, registered under Section(s) 15(c) & 29 of the NDPS Act, Section 238 of BNS at Police Station Kalanaur, District Rohtak.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner would be at par with co-accused Chet Ram to

whom concession of regular bail has already been granted by this Court vide order dated 30.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-45757-2025. He further submits that the position in the trial has not changed, and that the petitioner is a first time offender and is not involved in any other criminal case.

3. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid facts.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

5. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration that similar placed co-accused Chet Ram has already been granted concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 30.10.2025 passed in CRM-M-45757 of 2025, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

DECEMBER 23, 2025

Vishal Sharma

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No