



**139 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-13422-2025

Date of decision :12.12.2025

Jasmeen Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Madhu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Moudgill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, D.A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Divya Narula, Advocate for Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate
for respondent Nos.4 & 5 (through V.C.).

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed praying for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and no to allow/let respondents No.4 to 10 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner and also directing respondents No.4 to 10 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

2. Petitioners are present in person before this Court.

3. This Court has interacted with the petitioners and they have stated before this Court that they are of the age of majority. Petitioner No.1 has apprised the Court that she voluntarily married petitioner No.2 and wants to reside with him.

**CRWP-13422-2025****-2-**

4. Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 submits that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners and they will perform the marriage of the petitioners with their rituals and customs.

5. Petitioners have stated that they are ready to perform their marriage as per wish of their parents.

6. In view of the above and keeping in view the statement made by the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, to decide the representation dated 09.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

12.12.2025*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No