



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

225 CRM-M-69699-2025 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 22.12.2025

Parvez Muhammad @ Mohd. Parvez ....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CRM-M-69724-2025 (O&M)

Mohammad Razaaq @ Mohd. Razak ....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Shahid Anwer Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)**

1. The present petitions have been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case DDR No.04 dated 25.05.2025, registered under Sections 118(1), 126(2), 115(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS (Section 117(2) BNS added later on) in FIR No.33 dated 25.05.2025, at Police Station City Ahmedgarh, District Malerkotla.

2. On 10.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that it is a case of version and cross-version. As per the MLR, all injuries are blunt in nature, while as per the version of the complainant, the petitioner (in CRM-M-69699-2025) is stated to have inflicted a sword blow on his left arm and the petitioner (in CRM-M-69724-2025) is also stated to have inflicted a sword blow on his left elbow. Similarly circumstanced co-accused Akram Khan and Muhammad Asraf @ Mohd Ashraf have been granted interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 09.12.2025. The petitioner (in CRM-M-69699-2025) suffered 05 injuries as per MLR No.66 of 2025. Both the petitioners are not involved in any other case. The petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the

investigation on or before 16.12.2025. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 22.12.2025.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file(s) of the other connected case (s).”

- 3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioners have not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioners to appear, they shall make themselves available without demur.
- 4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Maher Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioners and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioners are not required for further custodial interrogation.
- 5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petitions filed by the petitioners are allowed and the order dated 10.12.2025 granting interim bail to them, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
- 6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners fail to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to them.
- 7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.12.2025**  
**M.Kamra**

|                           |   |          |
|---------------------------|---|----------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes / No |