



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-69766-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 23.12.2025

HARPINDER SINGH ALIAS RAJU

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate for
Mr. Harchand Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.115 dated 27.06.2025, under Sections 308(4), 351(1), 351(3) (sections 111 and 109 added later on) of BNS, 2023 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran.

2. On 11.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that there is delay of 2 days in lodging the FIR. The petitioner was neither named in the FIR nor in the supplementary statement of the complainant, however, it was in the disclosure statement of co-accused, Diwan Singh alias Rajan that he came to be implicated, who has since been granted bail vide order dated 18.11.2025, Annexure P-1. Otherwise, it is a case of no injury and as per the 3rd supplementary statement of the complainant, the above co-accused had allegedly fired at his house. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.12.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 23.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer, affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 11.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

23.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No