



114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-9307-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.12.2025

Suresh Chand (deceased) through his LRs

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Mahesh Bansal (deceased) through his LRs and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Dixit Dhiman, Advocate and
Mr. Sumit Goel, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 28.11.2019 passed by the Rent Controller, vide which the application filed by the landlord under Section 13 of the Haryana Urban (Control of Rent and Eviction) Act, 1973, had been allowed. Challenge is also to the judgment dated 19.09.2025 vide which the appeal filed by the petitioner(s) had been dismissed by the Appellate Authority.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s), after arguing for some time and seeing that this Court is not inclined to interfere in the matter, on instructions from his clients, has submitted that the petitioner(s) be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition but has sought time to vacate the premises and to shift to another premises. It has been stated that the petitioner(s) is a tenant in the premises for several years.

3. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner(s), the petitioner(s) is permitted to withdraw the present revision



petition with the following observations/directions:-

- i) The petitioner(s) would be permitted to occupy the premises till 12.04.2026, subject to the conditions mentioned hereinafter and would vacate the premises and hand over the keys of the premises to the respondents on or before 12.04.2026.
- ii) The petitioner(s) would pay the arrears of rent/mesne profits, if any, within a period of two months from today.
- iii) The petitioner(s) would file an undertaking on the said two aspects before the Executing Court within a period of three weeks from today with an advance copy to counsel for the landlord in the Executing Court.

4. It is made clear that in case the petitioner(s) does not submit the said undertaking or does not comply with any of the abovesaid conditions then it would be open to the respondents to seek immediate possession of the premises in question from the petitioner(s) by applying for police help in addition to taking recourse to other proceedings including the Contempt of Courts Act.

5. In view of what has been observed above, the present revision petition is disposed of.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

12.12.2025

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No