



**245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-70079-2025

Date of decision : 22.12.2025

Kamaldeep Singh @ Kamal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.03 dated 05.01.2022, under Sections 307, 341, 148, 149 of IPC (Sections 397 & 201 IPC added lateron) and Section 25 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Nakodar City, District Jalandhar.

2. As per the facts of the case, the FIR was lodged on the statement of complainant, namely, Sonu Ram. It was alleged that on 04.01.2022 at about 11:30 pm, he along with his friend Aditya Kumar S/o of Lt. Harbans Lal and Ritesh Kumar was coming back to Mohalla Ravidass Pura, Nakodar from PGI, Chandigarh in their Alto car bearing No.PB-08-CZ-1810. They saw a car was following them in which 4-5 persons were travelling. It was alleged that the complainant was driving his car slowly and the car following him started blowing horn. He dropped his companion in front of Ambedkar School and then the car which was following waylaid them and hit the front glass of the car with a sharp edged weapon. Being afraid, the complainant left the car and ran towards



his house. In the meantime, an unknown person fired a shot which hit in the palm of his left hand. He raised noise and in the meantime, the accused took the documents of the car and escaped from the place of occurrence. The request was made to take the legal action against the culprits. On registration of FIR, investigation commenced. During investigation, co-accused, namely, Harkamal and Kamaldeep (petitioner) were brought on production warrants and arrested on 04.04.2022 in the present case. On completion of investigation, challan presented and on framing of charges, the trial commenced. The petitioner approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar, praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, the same was declined after hearing both the sides by Learned trial Court vide order dated 04.07.2025. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner is before this Court praying for grant of bail by way of filing of present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, prays for the grant of bail on the basis of parity with that of the co-accused. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 18.05.2024, passed by this Court in **CRM-M-46868-2024**, whereby co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Harkamal, has been granted the concession of bail. He has submitted that case of the petitioner is at par with that of the co-accused, who has been granted bail by this Court. He submits that on the basis of the parity, petitioner deserves to be granted bail as case of the petitioner is similar to that of the co-accused, who has already been granted bail.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He endorsed the fact that case of the petitioner is at par with co-accused, namely, Harkamal, who has already been granted bail by this Court.



5. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the alleged occurrence had taken place in the intervening night of 4/5.1.2022. The petitioner is behind bars since the date of his arrest i.e. 04.04.2022. Admittedly, co-accused of the petitioner is already on bail granted by this Court vide order dated 18.05.2024 passed in CRM-M-46868-2024 and the case of the petitioner is at par with that of co-accused.

6. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court.

7. This Court would refrain itself from commenting anything on the merits of the case. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, the Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeed in making out a case for the grant of bail to the petitioner on the basis of parity. Accordingly, present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

8. In case the bail bonds are not furnished by the petitioner during the period of 07 days from today, then his further custody period after one week will not be counted in the present case.

22.12.2025
ps-I

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No