



CWP-36832-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-36832-2025

Date of Decision: 22.12.2025

**Rahul Yadav****...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Chandigarh Administration and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Pritpal Singh Nijjar, Additional Standing Counsel  
(*through video conferencing*) andMr. Varun Sandhu, Junior Panel Counsel  
for respondent-U.T. ChandigarhMs. Madhu Dayal, Advocate  
for respondent-Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J.** (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking directions to respondents to appoint him on compassionate ground.

2. The petitioner's father was working with respondent as Mali who passed away on 07.02.2023 in harness. He was survived by two dependents including petitioner. The petitioner applied for compassionate appointment on 13.12.2023. The respondent issued tentative seniority list of the dependents of deceased employees who had applied for appointment on compassionate ground. In the said list name of petitioner figured at Sr. No.66. The petitioner vide letter dated 01.05.2024 was asked to file objections, if any. The petitioner vide letter dated 27.11.2024 was asked to submit documents regarding proof of age, residence, income and property.

**CWP-36832-2025****-2-**

He submitted requisite documents along with affidavit. The respondent did not take action on his application, thus, he served legal notice dated 10.06.2025 upon respondent. The respondent vide letter dated 16.06.2025 has informed him that matter lies exclusively within the domain of office of Superintending Engineer (B&R), Municipal Corporation Chandigarh.

3. Learned counsel for respondent-Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh submits that as per her instruction, petitioner's claim for family pension and *ex gratia* would be addressed within four weeks from today, however, her claim for compassionate appointment would be considered in accordance with the policy adopted by them.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for respondent-Municipal Corporation, Chandigarh, the petition stands disposed of.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.12.2025***Mohit Kumar*

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |