

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132+157

Date of Order: 08.12.2025

(1) CRM-M-68980 of 2025 (O&M)

2025:PHHC:170478



Kuldeep

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

(2) CRM-M-69194 of 2025 (O&M)

2025:PHHC:170481



Kuldeep

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Ashwani Guar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, JUDGE

Petitions detailed above, both under Section 528 of Bhartiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seek directions to learned Additional Sessions
Judge, Fast Track Court, Sonipat to decide application dated 07.06.2024 for
releasing Registration Certificate No.HR10AN0428 and application dated
05.11.2024 for defreezing bank account, in a time bound manner

2. Notice of motion to respondent-State of Haryana.
3. On advance notice, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, has
appeared on behalf of the State.

4. Heard.

5. The petitioner faced trial in case vide FIR No. 0391 dated 04.10.2022, under Section 170, 406, 419, 420, 376, 511 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Murthal, District Sonipat. He was acquitted vide judgement dated 20.02.2024. On 07.06.2024, he moved an application before learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Sonipat for release of Registration Certificate of his vehicle, which was taken into possession during investigation. After calling for the report of the Ahlmad, the State and the DRK, as many as 17 adjournments were granted but the Court has not yet decided the application. Another application was moved by the petitioner after his acquittal for defreezing of his account, frozen during investigation and for return of eight cheques. On that application too, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Sonipat, called for the report of the Ahlmad, the concerned Bank and the State and adjourned the application for as many as six times. The applications are pending for more than 1 year but are not being decided.

6. Though all the orders passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Sonipat, record that arguments have not been advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, the reason for adjournment does not appear to be genuine as the Court could well decide the applications after giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case, both petitions are disposed of with a direction to the Court of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fast Track Court, Sonipat, to dispose of the applications within a period of 15 days.

8. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.
9. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

08.12.2025
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No