



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-36486-2025

Date of Decision: 23.12.2025

VIJAY SINGH

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Ms. Aalima Mahajan, Advocate for
Ms. Himani Makkad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to extend the petitioner's age of superannuation upto sixty years being a disabled employee suffering from sixty-nine per cent permanent disability; certificate to that effect dated 27.11.2025, Annexure P-2, has been placed on record.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in terms of law laid down by the Division Bench vide judgment dated 06.11.2025, passed in CWP-2340-2023 titled *Jora Singh v. State of Haryana and others*, the petitioner is entitled to extension of service on the basis of his disability.

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the petitioner has never approached the Department seeking extension in service on the basis of his disability after rendering of the judgment aforementioned. In case he submits a representation to that effect, the Department will take an



appropriate decision thereupon in the light of law laid down in *Jora Singh* case *ibid.*, within six weeks of submission of his representation.

- 4. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
- 5. Ordered accordingly.
- 6. In case the petitioner is found entitled to extension of service, all due benefits with continuity in service will be granted to him.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

23.12.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>