

155

CRM-M-68494-2025

-1-



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-68494-2025

Date of decision: 22.12.2025

ABHISHEK SHARMA ALIAS ABHISHEK SHANKY

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Ms. Amarjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhbir Maandi, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 for issuance of directions to official respondents No.2 & 3 to conduct fair and impartial inquiry in GD No.36 dated 18.11.2025 at P.S. Chheharta, District Amritsar (Annexure P-1) and to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner has already made representation dated 21.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) before the Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar raising the said grievances, but no action has been taken so far.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, District Amritsar to consider the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take



3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab, who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course.

5. In view of the above, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No.2 to depute a senior officer to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 21.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner qua threat perception only within a period of 30 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

22.12.2025
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No