



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CRWP-13230-2025
Decided on :10.12.2025
Date of uploading:10.12.2025

RAJDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHERPETITIONER(s)

Versus
STATE OF HARYANA AND ORSRESPONDENT(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Addl. AG, Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, JUDGE (Oral)

1. The petition in hand has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the following substantive prayer(s):-

“It is, therefore, respectfully prayed that the present petition may kindly be allowed and appropriate directions may kindly be issued to official respondents to ensure the protection of the life and personal liberty of the Petitioners, who are facing grave threats from Private Respondents No. 4 to 9 (In-laws of petitioner No. 1), including their attempts to forcibly enter the Petitioners' house by breaking the lock (as evident from CCTV footage), threats of false implication in criminal cases, and intimidation by staging protests ('Dharnas') outside the Petitioners' residence, in the interest of Justice.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners after arguing for sometime has limited his prayer by stating that he would be satisfied, for the *nonce*, if a direction is issued to respondent No2-Superintendent of Police Fatehabad, District Fatehabad to consider and take a conscious decision on the representation dated 12.11.2025 made by the petitioner (copy whereof has been annexed as Annexure P-6 with the petition in hand) in a time-bound manner.



3. Learned State counsel has urged that he has no objection in case limited prayer made by the petitioner, for decision of his representation dated 12.11.2025 (Annexure P-6), is directed for.

4. Keeping in view the nature of the litigation involved in the present petition, the requirement to serve respondent No.4 to 9 stands dispensed with.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival party(s) and upon perusal of the paper-book, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the petition in hand with the following directions:

(i) Superintendent of Police Fatehabad, District Fatehabad is mandated to look into the representation dated 12.11.2025 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioner, objectively consider the grievance(s) raised therein & take ratiocinated decision thereupon within a period of 15 days from today. Said decision be conveyed to the petitioner within 7 days thereafter.

(ii) No order as to costs.

10.12.2025
jatin

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No