

-1-

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-36203-2025

Date of decision:-19.12.2025

M/s Shree NM Electricals Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Mahir Sood, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himanshu Arora, Addl. Standing Counsel, UT
Chandigarh for respondents No.1 and 4.


Ms. Chahat, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2 – SBI.

Mr. Tapan Masta, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent No.5.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Since petitioner has an alternate remedy under the criminal law, present petition is not maintainable.

2. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to file a criminal



-2-

117

revision.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

19.12.2025

Brij

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No