

2025:PHHC:170202



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRM-M-68171-2025
Decided on:08.12.2025**

Mohit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in this second petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.11 dated 20.08.2025, registered under Sections 318(4) & 61(2) of the BNS, 2023, at Police Station Nodal Cyber Crime, District Panchkula.

2. Admittedly, this is the second petition praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the earlier petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed on merits vide detailed order dated 13.10.2025 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CRM-M-57018-2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at the time of dismissal of earlier anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner, the investigation qua the accused persons was pending but now the final investigation report has been filed by the police and secondly, co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Sumit, has already been granted regular bail by the

2025:PHHC:170202



Thus, in view of these changed circumstances, the present second bail application is maintainable. However, this Court does not find these aforesaid two grounds to be valid as changed circumstances for entertaining this successive anticipatory bail petition as the final investigation report filed by the police would be subject to trial and co-accused of the petitioner has been granted regular bail and not the anticipatory bail.

4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843** has held that successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained on specious ground of 'Changed Circumstances'.

5. In view of the law settled, the present petition, being not maintainable, is hereby dismissed.

6. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

December 08, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES