

2025:PHHC:171837



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

234

CRM-M-68324-2025

Date of decision: 09.12.2025

RAJINDER SINGH @ RINKU

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 186 dated 13.11.2023 under Sections 21/25/29 NDPS Act 1985 & under Sections 304/427 IPC 1860, registered at Police Station Makhu, District Ferozpur.

2. The case of the prosecution is that 07 kgs and 30 gms of heroin was recovered from one Arshdeep Singh, co-accused of the petitioner. The said Arshdeep Singh thereafter named one Parminder Singh as well as the present petitioner in his disclosure statement. Thereafter, he was arrested.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner and apart from the disclosure statement there is no other evidence against the present petitioner. Petitioner has been in custody since 30.07.2024. Since co-accused Parminder Singh has been granted regular bail by this Court on 20.03.2025, the present petitioner is seeking parity with his co-accused.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rohit Hans, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and contends that the petitioner has been involved in another case under NDPS Act. However, he is stated to be on bail. Out of 25 witnesses, only 3 witnesses have been examined and trial is yet to take long time. The petitioner has been in custody for 01 year and 04 months and the trial is yet to commence.

6. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the present case and further keeping in view that the petitioner is involved in another case under the NDPS Act and since the petitioner is in custody for more than 01 year and 04 months; the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice.

**CRM-M-68324-2025****-3-**

Therefore, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

December 09, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No