

220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC:170783



CRM-M-67899-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.12.2025

YUSUF ALIAS ANMOL ALIAS ANNU ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF HARYANA ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Bhaskar Sorout, Advocate and
Mr. Bharat Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 277 dated 12.06.2023, under Section 21(C) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, registered at Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner was found in possession of 14 injections of Buprenorphine (2 ml each). The total recovery effected from the petitioner is above the commercial quantity which is 20 ml as 28 ml Buprenorphine was found to be contained in 14 viles. The petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 05 months and 24 days. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sunny Namdev, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 05 months and 24 days. Out of

total 17 prosecution witnesses, 6 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. The petitioner is involved in 5 more cases.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and the fact that petitioner is in custody for the last 02 years, 05 months and 24 days; out of total 17 prosecution witnesses, 6 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far; although the witnesses are official witnesses; the trial of the case is progressing at a low pace and conclusion of trial would take some time, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

08.12.2025

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Janki	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/Noz