



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

131

CRM-M-68032-2025

Date of Decision: 09.12.2025

VIKRAMJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Ranwant Singh Sangha, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of orders dated 23.10.2025 (Annexure P-4) and 19.11.2025 (Annexure P-5), whereby the bail granted to him was cancelled on account of his non-appearance before the trial Court, and proceedings for declaring him a proclaimed person have now been initiated.

2. The petitioner, who is facing trial for the offence punishable under Section 21 of the NDPS Act, submits that his absence on 23.10.2025 was due to a misunderstanding in noting the date of hearing. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that his absence was not intentional. It is submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the proceedings before the trial Court and undertakes to abide by any terms and conditions that may be imposed upon him. It is, therefore, prayed that the impugned orders dated 23.10.2025 and 19.11.2025 be set aside.



3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, learned Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has accepted advance notice on behalf of the State and submits that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned orders. It is, therefore, contended that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. I have heard rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. On perusal of the record, it is revealed that the petitioner absented himself on 23.10.2025, leading to cancellation of his bail and forfeiture of his bonds to the State. He again failed to appear on 19.11.2025, due to which proclamation proceedings were initiated against him. Though, the impugned orders passed by the learned trial Court cannot be stated to be suffering from any illegality or infirmity, however, keeping in view the fact that the absence of the petitioner does not warrant the consequence of detaining him in custody when he is willing to participate in the trial and the ultimate aim is expeditious disposal of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the learned trial Court on or before 11.12.2025. It is further ordered that upon her surrender and on moving an appropriate application, the learned trial Court shall consider admitting his to bail subject to furnishing of fresh personal/surety bonds to its satisfaction. However, this petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed if the petitioner fails to comply with this order.

7. Petition stands disposed of.

8. A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court for information and necessary compliance.



9. A copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

December 09, 2025
Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No