

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:171195



(122)

CR-8906-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-09.12.2025

HARISH CHAND

... Petitioner

Versus

VIKRAM

... Respondent

-:-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India assailing the order dated 26.11.2025 (Annexure P-17), vide which, the application for staying the impugned ejectment order dated 30.09.2025 has been dismissed by the Appellate Authority.
2. The order has been assailed on the grounds that the learned Appellate Authority has entertained the appeal for adjudication but the operation of the impugned order has not been stayed and in case, respondent/petitioner succeeds in taking possession of the property in question, then, his appeal would be rendered infructuous.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and filed his *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record.
5. At this stage, both the parties agreed that the interest of justice would be served and Revision Petition be disposed of by directing the Appellate Authority to decide the appeal in a time bound manner. In view of this, the instant revision petition is disposed of accordingly and petitioner

would deposit the *mesne* profit as adjudicated by the learned Appellate Authority within a period of of 15 days and the execution of the impugned order would remained stayed and appeal would be decided within a period of three months from today.

6. Petition stands disposed of. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

9th December, 2025

S. Pathania

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?

Whether reportable?

Yes / No

Yes / No