

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-13008-2025

Date of Decision: 18.12.2025

...Petitioner

Versus

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Petitioner Kanta Devi and detenue Soniya, both are present in person.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

Upon a call given by Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, the Members of the Bar are abstaining from work.

By virtue of the present petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 BNSS, petitioner has prayed therein to direct official respondents to get detenue namely Soniya (daughter of petitioner) released from the illegal custody of respondent No.5 (Rinku son of Raj Kumar) and other private respondents.

Detenue Sonia is present in the Court and states that she was born on 12.11.2001 in Kaithal. She further states that she had left her parental home on her own free will and volition and is presently staying with respondent No.5 Rinku son of Raj Kumar. On a pointed query raised by this Court, she further states that she does not want to return back her parental home and wants to reside with respondent No.5.

Petitioner Kanta Devi is also present in person in the Court. She has also identified the detainee as her own daughter.

In view of the statement made by the detenue nothing survives in the present petition, for detenue as noticed above, has stated that she wants to reside with respondent No.5 of her own free will as also that she has not been pressurized/compelled by any person to give such a statement in the Court.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

18.12.2025
Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No