

2025:PHHC:167101



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**CRM-M-67541-2025
Decided on:02.12.2025**

Gurdev Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate,
for the complainant.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J.

1. Prayer in this second petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023, is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.548 dated 11.08.2024, registered under Sections 109(1), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 (Sections 61, 191(1), 132, 221, 121(1), 249-B & 215 of the BNS, 2023 added later on) and Sections 25, 27 and 30 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Rania, District Sirsa.

2. Admittedly, this is the second petition praying for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as the earlier petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn by this Court vide order dated 10.07.2025 passed in CRM-M-30969-2025.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since firstly this Court issued notice of motion in a petition filed by the petitioner bearing CRM-

2025:PHHC:167101



M-54962-2025 for conducting fair and impartial investigation in the FIR in question and secondly the complainant with others have been summoned by the learned trial Court to face trial in a criminal complaint filed by co-accused of the petitioner under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, 1860, therefore, in view of these two changed circumstances, the present second bail application is maintainable. However, this Court does not find these aforesaid two grounds to be valid as changed circumstances for entertaining this successive anticipatory bail petition.

4. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **G.R. Ananda Babu Vs. State of Tamil Nadu, 2021(1) RCR (Criminal) 843** has held that successive anticipatory bail applications ought not to be entertained on specious ground of 'Changed Circumstances'.

5. In view of the law settled, the present petition, being not maintainable, is hereby dismissed.

6. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

December 02, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES