

S. No.217

2025:PHHC:169978



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-67558 of 2025
Date of Decision:05.12.2025**

**Akshay @ Akash
Vs.
State of Haryana**

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. Aminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. This is first petition filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.63 dated 17.05.2025 registered under Sections 191(3), 190, 115(2), 127(2), 140(4) and 351(2) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Naggal District Ambala.
2. Upon notice, learned State Counsel has appeared. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have perused the material placed on record.
3. As per prosecution case, complainant namely Vineet was abducted by three persons namely Krish Jaildar, Akshay @ Akash and Gaurav on the point of knife and he was taken to a room and beaten where one person namely Harjot Pandit and one unknown person also arrived and thereafter, few more persons arrived, who all caused injuries to him and silver chain was also snatched along with Rs.1500/-. When he cried for help, some passers-by were attracted to the spot and the assailants ran away from the spot. He further alleged that one of the



unknown persons was Raman to whom he can identify. Petitioner – Akshay @ Akash was arrested on 17.05.2025 and after completion of investigation, final report has been presented in the Court for trial.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated. No specific injury has been attributed to the petitioner. No test identification parade has been got conducted. Infact, victim was never abducted and tutored version has been put forward and a minor dispute had taken place between some of the accused and the victim. Learned counsel further contended that co-accused – Sagar, Harjot Agnihotri and Ajay Saini have already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2025 in CRM-M-46952 of 2025, CRM-M-59257 of 2025 and CRM-M-60931 of 2025. Petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2025. Challan has already been presented after completion of investigation. Trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail.

5. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail petition and has sought its dismissal in view of the gravity of the offence.

6. The victim has suffered minor injuries. He was allegedly abducted and beaten. Now petitioner is in custody since 17.05.2025. Co-accused – Sagar, Harjot Agnihotri and Ajay Saini have already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 04.12.2025 in CRM-M-46952 of 2025, CRM-M-59257 of 2025 and CRM-M-60931 of 2025. The challan has already been presented after completion of investigation. The trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude



and further detention of the petitioner is thus not required and he deserve to be released on bail.

7. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

December 05, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No