



**128 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-35494-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:09.12.2025

GAGAN CHHABRA

....PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

GURU JAMBHESHWAR UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND
TECHNOLOGY AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENT(S)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Karundeeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravindra Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (ORAL)

1. Though Rule 6.1 and Rule 6.2 of Ordinance-XX (Re-evaluation Rules) of Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar (for short, '*University*') have been questioned but when the matter is heard, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner restricts the relief sought to a direction to the respondent-University to have the petitioner's answer sheet re-evaluated once again, at his own cost.

2. The reason for pressing such relief and which we are inclined to consider is that the respondent(s)-University follows a consistent practice of masking the marks earlier awarded to a candidate before sending the answer-sheet for re-evaluation.

3. In the facts of the present case, however, it is seen that only

some of the answers were masked, while in the remaining questions the marks were not masked. As a result, during re-evaluation, the marks remained the same.

4. The respondents argue that the purpose of masking is to eliminate the possibility of bias or prejudice in the mind of the re-evaluating teacher, who might otherwise reiterate the conclusions of the original examiner.

5. Since the object of re-evaluation is to have the answer-sheet examined afresh by an independent examiner, which is otherwise the practice followed, we are of the view that the petitioner's request deserves consideration.

6. In view of the above, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondent-University to get the petitioner's answer sheet re-evaluated at his cost and to communicate the re-evaluated marks within six weeks, thereafter.

7. Pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

DECEMBER 09, 2025

Rahul Joshi

1. Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
2. Whether Reportable	Yes/No