



CWP-35515-2025 (O&M)

108

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-35515-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.12.2025

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Ranjit S. Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner is praying for quashing of impugned order/communication dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure P-7) passed by respondent No.1 vide which application dated 20.09.2025 submitted by the petitioner for transferring him back to School of Eminence (Boys), Jawahar Nagar, Ludhiana has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the impugned order dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure P-7) is a non-speaking order as the contention raised by the petitioner in the application dated 20.09.2025 has not been considered while passing the abovesaid impugned order, as such, the abovesaid impugned order is liable to be quashed.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.



CWP-35515-2025 (O&M)

5. I have heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.

6 A perusal of the impugned order dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure P-7) would show that the said order has been passed without dealing with the contention raised by the petitioner in application submitted by the petitioner dated 20.09.2025. It is well settled law that executive order or administrative decision passed by the Competent Authority while considering the representation of an employee must contain reasons. An employee/person should know why his claim was rejected. An employee/person has a right to know the material that influenced the decision maker while passing the final order. Reasons compel the deciding authority to apply its mind to the issues raised in the representation.

7. In view of the above, impugned order dated 13.11.2025 (Annexure P-7) being non-speaking order is hereby quashed with the liberty to the respondents to pass a fresh order on the application dated 20.09.2025 submitted by the petitioner in accordance with law.

8. The present writ petition is allowed in abovesaid terms.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand(s) disposed of.

08.12.2025

Ramandeep Singh

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No