



113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-67775-2025
DECIDED ON: 02.12.2025**

JOBANPREET SINGH ALIAS JOBAN SINGHPETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. PBS Goraya, Advocate, and
Mr. S.S. Bhullar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate, for the complainant.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of anticipatory bail, in case, FIR No.105, dated 17.12.2024, under Sections 103(1), 109, 61(2), 62, 190, 191(3), 351(2) of BNS, 25, 27 of Arms Act, and offence under section 117(2), 118(1) of BNS has been added later on, vide rapat No.18 dated 26.12.2024, registered at Police Station Chohla Sahib, District Tarn Taran.

2. It is noticed that petitioner's plea for anticipatory bail, earlier raised in CRM-M-25802-2025, has already been declined by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court (Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.), by passing a detailed order dated 13.05.2025 (Annexure P-1), wherein role attributed to the petitioner was specifically dealt with.

3. It is not in dispute that subsequent to the said order, several co-accused have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail, i.e. Balwinder Singh (order dated 09.09.2025 in CRM-M-47930-2025, Annexure P-2), Daljit Singh (interim anticipatory bail, vide order dated 30.09.2025 in CRM-M-50015-2025, Annexure P-3), Yodbir Singh (order dated 21.07.2025 in CRM-M-34551-2025, Annexure P-4), and Palwinder Singh @ Pindu (order dated 10.11.2025 in CRM-M-53461-2025, Annexure P-5).

4. On this basis, petitioner has filed present second petition seeking reconsideration of his claim for anticipatory bail, asserting that he should not be treated differently from the aforementioned co-accused.

However, it is undeniable that petitioner's earlier petition for anticipatory bail has already been dismissed on merits by this Court, at the first instance.

5. **In view of the same, present second anticipatory bail petition is not maintainable, and the same is accordingly dismissed.**

However, it will be open for the petitioner to avail the remedy, available to him, as per law.

02.12.2025

Lavisha

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>