222 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:170829

CRM-M-67985-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.12.2025

SANDEEP SHARMA **... PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA **... RESPONDENT**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 292 dated 19.10.2021, under Sections 307, 506 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Parao Ambala Cantt., District Ambala, Haryana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the prosecution is that petitioner is stated to have caused firearm injuries to his sister-in-law (Bhabhi). Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner entered into an altercation which led to firing the gun shot. It is also stated that the gun shot was fired accidently. The petitioner is in custody for the last 04 years, 01 month and 14 days. It is further submitted that petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case. He, therefore, prays for release of the petitioner on regular bail as the trial is likely to take a long time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Sunny Namdev, A.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody

JANKI BHATT
2025.12.09 20:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh

certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 04 years, 01 month and 14 days.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties and the fact that the gun shot was fired accidently; the petitioner is in custody for the last 04 years, 01 month and 14 days; he is not involved in any other case; conclusion of trial is likely to take some time; therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

08.12.2025
Janki

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/Noz

JANKI BHATT
2025.12.09 20:08
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh