



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-67137-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.12.2025

Jaswinder Singh @ Boxer

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.140 dated 17.11.2019, registered under Section(s) 307, 336, 325, 506, 120-B, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Mehta, District Amritsar.

2. The FIR in question was registered on the statement of Manjinder Singh son of Dalbir Singh. The relevant extract of the same reads thus:-

“Stated that I am residing at the above address and doing agriculture work. We are two brothers and one sister. Eldest is Surjit Singh, next is sister Sukhchain Kaur and I am the youngest. Today, at about 6:30AM, I and my brother Surjit Singh went to bring ‘Toori’ (Wheat Straw) from our adjoining

Haveli for feeding our cattle. When my brother was just coming out from our Haveli after taking Toori, at the same time two vehicles reached there and stopped in front of the Haveli. Navtej Singh @ Jota son of Ranjit Singh resident of Buttar Sivia armed with pistol, accompanied by Lovepreet Singh son of Bakhtawar Singh resident of Butter Khurd, Ajaypal Singh son of Mangal Singh resident of Gaggarbhana armed with pistol, and 3-4 other un-identified persons armed with iron rod and baseball came out of the vehicles. They fired pistol shots direct towards my brother with intention to kill him. However, the fire shots hit at both legs of my brother and he fell down on the ground. Their companion 3-4 un-identified persons, who were armed with iron rods and baseball, attacked my brother causing injuries on his arms and body. As soon as my brother cried "Maar Ditta, Maar Ditta all the accused, fled away with their weapons shouting threats to kill us and firing shots in the air. I have seen the whole incident with my own eyes. This whole conspiracy was hatched by mother of Navjot Singh namely Harjinder Kaur w/o Ranjit Singh resident of Butter Sivia. Myself and my 'Phoopher Mukhtiar Singh son of Karnail Singh resident of Dhariwal P.S. Hargobindpur arranged a vehicle and get him admitted in Civil Hospital Baba Bakala where after first aid, the doctor referred the patient to Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar. After admitting my brother in GND Hospital, I alongwith my Phoopher Mukhtiar Singh were

coming to inform you.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that so far as the case of the petitioner is concerned, no specific role has been attributed to the petitioner and that the injury attracting Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 was attributed to co-accused/Navjot Singh @ Jotta. He contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.06.2023. He further contends that the trial in the present case is yet to commence and total 20 witnesses have been cited by the prosecution. It is contended that said co-accused/Navjot Singh @Jotta has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 25.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-27196-2022.

4. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects, he however contends that the petitioner has been attributed blows on the legs of the injured/victim.

5. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the period of actual custody being more than 02 years and 05 months, the stage of the trial wherein the trial is yet to commence coupled with the fact that co-accused/Navjot Singh @Jotta has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 25.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-27196-2022 and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

7.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.
8.

The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

05.12.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE