



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-67262-2025  
Date of decision: 05.12.2025

AKASHDEEP SINGH ALIAS AKASH PAKODA .....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB .....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: - Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate  
for the petitioner.  
  
Ms. Savi Nagpal, Asst. A.G. Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 36 dated 04.04.2025, registered under Section(s) 304(2), 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 351(1)(3), 190, 238, 117(2), 118(2), 317(2), 191(3) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Mohakampura, Amritsar.

2. Briefly stated, the prosecution version is that on 02.04.2025, the complainant and Dharaminder Singh were travelling on their motorcycle and upon reaching near Hari Mandir, adjoining Bittu Karyana Store in Village Rasulpur Kalar, they were intercepted by two motorcycles. The riders were

identified as Chucha (armed with a datar), Chand (armed with a datar), C.H. (armed with a datar), Mithun (armed with a datar), Manish and two unknown persons who were driving the motorcycles and were carrying baseball bats. It is alleged that Chand Singh @ Chand raised a lalkara exhorting his companions to catch hold of the complainant and teach him a lesson for having admitted Sukhbir Singh to the Civil Hospital for treatment. Immediately thereafter, Chucha allegedly inflicted a datar blow on the complainant's head. C.H. also gave a datar blow on his head, causing him to fall to the ground. While lying on the ground, Mithun is stated to have aimed a datar blow with its reverse side at the complainant's head; in an attempt to save himself, the complainant raised his left arm, resulting in the blow landing on his wrist. Chucha then gave another reverse-side datar blow which landed on the complainant's left knee. Chand Singh @ Chand allegedly inflicted a reverse-side datar blow on his left shoulder. C.H. again delivered a reverse-side datar blow on the complainant's waist. The unknown assailants armed with baseball bats purportedly struck him on the waist and left shoulder. It is further alleged that Chand Singh @ Chand snatched the complainant's VIVO mobile phone and ₹33,500/- in cash, and Manish gave him fist blows and kicks. During the investigation, the complainant Satnam Singh made a supplementary statement on 03.05.2025, asserting that in his initial statement dated 04.04.2025, he was unable to identify all the persons involved. He further stated that, upon subsequently learning the identities of the remaining assailants, he could name them as Akashdeep Singh @ Akash, Karan Singh, and Bhanu. On the basis of this supplementary statement, the present petitioner was nominated as an accused in the impugned FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not named in the initial version of the complainant and has been subsequently implicated only on the basis of a supplementary statement made nearly one month after the occurrence. Counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody since 12.05.2025 and only two simple injuries, one abrasion on the chest and another on the left neck below the knee are attributed to him. It is further submitted that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case, the charge is yet to be framed and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take considerable time.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects. She, however, submits that a dattar and a motorcycle have been recovered from the petitioner, in addition to certain amounts of money and contends that these recoveries prima facie establish his participation in the occurrence.

5. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

6. Taking into consideration that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature; the period of custody undergone by him, which is nearly seven months; the stage of the trial, which is yet to commence coupled with the petitioner's clean antecedents and young age of 22 years, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

7. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8.           It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.
9.           The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

DECEMBER 05, 2025  
*Vishal Sharma*

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned       :       Yes/No

Whether Reportable                :       Yes/No