



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-67101-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.12.2025

Sarabjit Singh

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Rubi Khokhar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. This first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.155 dated 14.07.2025, registered under Section(s) 21(b)/27-A/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the FIR registered on the statement of Police officials, while they were on patrolling duty, chance recovery was effected from accused persons. She contends that the petitioner is stated to have been driving the motorcycle, while the recovery of 10 grams of *Heroin* was effected from the pocket of co-accused/Hardeep Singh @ Heera/pillion rider. She contends that the said co-accused from whom the contraband was recovered has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 13.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-62561-2025. She further contends that during disclosure one person namely Navdeep Singh @ Jyoti was also nominated as an accused but he has also been granted the concession of

regular bail by this Court. She contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 14.07.2025. She contends that the investigation in the present case is yet to be completed, hence, conclusion of trial shall take a long time.

3. Learned counsel for respondent-State does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the period of actual custody and that the co-accused from whom the contraband was recovered has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court coupled with the fact that the investigation is yet to be completed and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

05.12.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No