



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(121)

CR No. 8677 of 2025

Date of Decision : 15.12.2025

Suresh Kumar @ Suresh Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Aman Pal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vikrant, Advocate and
Mr. Japsahej, Advocate and
Ms. Mansi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Suneel Ranga, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, Advocate with
Mr. Arshad Ali, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

Amarinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present civil revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 15.11.2025 (Annexure P-22) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Gurugram in CMA-430-2025, whereby the appeal against the order dated 27.10.2025 was dismissed, as well as the order dated 27.10.2025 (Annexure P-20) passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Gurugram in CS-386-2019, whereby the application filed by the petitioner under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure was dismissed.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already moved an application before respondent No.2–Municipal Corporation, Manesar seeking extension of lease. The limited prayer made before this Court is for issuance of a direction to the Municipal Corporation to decide the said application, which is stated to be pending, in a time-bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submit that the respondents have no objection in case the application filed by the petitioner is directed to be decided within a stipulated time.

4. In view of the above submissions, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2–Municipal Corporation, Manesar is directed to dispose of the application filed by the petitioner for extension of lease within a period of four weeks from today after giving an opportunity of proper hearing to the petitioner, in accordance with law.

5. It is made clear that till the decision of the said application, no coercive action, including demolition, shall be taken against the petitioner in respect of the suit property.

6. The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 15, 2025
kanchan

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No