



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CRM-M-66615-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2025

Gxxxx Sxxxx alias Gxxxx

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. In view of the request letter circulated by Bar Association, the lawyers are abstaining from work.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.68 dated 22.06.2024, registered under Sections 160, 307, 336, 427, 506, 148, 149 IPC and 25, 27, 30, 54, 59 of Arms Act, at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.
3. On 27.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR, however, came to be implicated based on the disclosure statement of co-accused, but no overt act has been attributed to him. It was a pre existing feud between two groups, who had allegedly caused injuries to each other. Co-accused have been granted anticipatory/regular bail, vide orders Annexure P-4 and P-3 respectively. The petitioner is not involved in any other case; ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 09.12.2025. In the event of his

arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 16.12.2025.”

4. SI Jaspal Singh appears and states that the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, he is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 27.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

16.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No