



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M No.66635 of 2025
Date of decision : 22.12.2025
Date of uploading : 23.12.2025

Gian Singh and anotherPetitioners
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Sarthak Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioners
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 28.11.2025, the following order was passed:
- 'Apprehending their arrest in FIR No.307 dated 09.11.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 308(6), 351(1), 351(3), 62, 3(5) of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sahnewal, District Ludhiana; the petitioners have preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.*
- Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioners have been falsely implicated into the FIR in question for the reason that they were raising grievance(s) against the FIR-complainant side regarding environmental issue(s) etc., the petitioners have clean antecedents, no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioners & the petitioners are willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.*
- Notice of motion.*
- On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab/Haryana.*
- Adjourned to 22.12.2025.*
- The petitioners are directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 04.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to their furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting*



Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioners shall join the investigation. They shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. '

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 28.11.2025, the petitioners have joined investigation and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 28.11.2025. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 28.11.2025, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioners indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

22.12.2025
Ashwanii

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No