

221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-66978-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2025



SOHAN SINGH ... PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. P.S.Ahluwalia, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. H.S.Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) in FIR No. 129 dated 13.05.2025, under Sections 22, 29, 27, 61/85 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station ANTF Sector 79, Sohana, District SAS Nagar.

2. Briefly, the facts of the case are that a secret information was received that one Khushpreet Singh @ Bania and Shingara Singh, co-accused are running a de-addiction centre and are involved in the sale of illicit contraband. Khushpreet Singh @ Bania was also found in possession of 350 tablets of Tramadol Hydrochloride and 735 tablets of Alprazolam.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused. The co-accused named the petitioner to be the one from whom they had procured the alleged contraband. The petitioner is a certified medical practitioner. However, no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. Although, he is involved in one more case but there is no evidence apart from the disclosure statement to connect the petitioner with the recovery of the contraband.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, A.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. He has filed the custody certificate in Court, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 02 months and 21 days.
6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
7. Considering the submissions of the learned State Counsel; the fact that the petitioner has been nominated merely on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused; no recovery has been effected from the petitioner; the petitioner has undergone actual custody for a period of 02 months and 21 days, therefore, continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.
8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.
9. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

04.12.2025

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Janki	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No