



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-66566-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 17.12.2025

MANMEET SACHDEVA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. A letter has been circulated by the Bar Association, as per which the lawyers are abstaining from work today.
2. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.349 dated 10.11.2025, registered under Section 318(4) BNS, at Police Station Division No.5, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.
3. On 28.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has a licensed consultancy under the name and style of M/s Royal Immigration Consultancy, Annexure P-3, and had even got issued the job offer letter for the nephew of the complainant, Annexure P-5, as also work permit, Annexure P-6, for which she had been engaged. The FIR has been got lodged by concealing the above documents. She has been falsely implicated since some amount was still to be paid by the concerned applicant to her. She is not involved in any other case; is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the

investigation on or before 09.12.2025. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 17.12.2025.”

4. ASI Mewa Singh, Investigating Officer appears and states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the same. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 28.11.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

17.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No