



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

229

CRM-M-67013-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.12.2025

Khushdil Singh @ Khushia

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Pranav Arora, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr. DAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

CRM-48005-2025

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Main case:

1. This first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.132 dated 21.06.2025, registered under Section(s) 21/27-A/29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that recovery of 9.50 grams of Heroin had been effected from co-accused/ Gursewak Singh @ Baba. He contends that co-accused/Amandeep Singh, on the basis of whose disclosure the petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case, has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 23.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-52457-2025. He contends that no recovery of any nature whatsoever has been effected from the petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner has been in custody

since 30.06.2025 and the trial is yet to commence.

3. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual aspects.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the facts that co-accused/Amandeep Singh has already been granted the concession of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 23.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-52457-2025, no recovery has been effected from the petitioner as well as period of actual custody and age of the petitioner (22 years) and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate concerned.

6. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.12.2025

Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No