



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

130

CRM-M-67902-2025

Date of Decision: 09.12.2025

ANJALI TYAGI

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Ms. Jaya Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Birender Bikram Attrey, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 28.07.2025, passed in a case arising out of FIR No.419 dated 11.08.2024, registered under Sections 120-B, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Thanesar Sadar, District Kurukshetra, whereby her bail was cancelled and the bail bonds were forfeited to the State due to her non-appearance on that date, and fresh warrants of arrest were issued against her for 08.01.2026.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that her absence on 28.07.2025 was due to a misunderstanding in noting the date of hearing. It is submitted that her absence was not intentional; rather, she could not appear as she was in custody in District Jail Haridwar, in connection with another FIR. It is also submitted that seven other cases had been registered against her, but she has now been granted bail in all such cases. She is ready to join



the proceedings before the trial Court and undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed upon her. Accordingly, a prayer has been made for allowing the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Birender Bikram Attrey, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, has accepted advance notice of the petition and submits that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order. It is, therefore, contended that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. I have heard rival submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

6. The petitioner was granted the benefit of bail in the present case on 09.07.2025 pursuant to the order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra. However, her bail was cancelled on the very next date of hearing i.e. 28.07.2025, due to her non-appearance. Annexure P-6 is a certificate purportedly issued by the District Jail, Haridwar, showing that on the aforesaid date, she was detained in District Jail, Haridwar. She was not produced before the concerned Court by the jail authorities. Though no ground is made out for setting aside the impugned order, as no illegality appears to have been committed by the learned trial Court in passing the same, however, considering the request made by learned counsel for the petitioner for grant of one more opportunity to surrender before the trial Court, and further keeping in view that her absence does not warrant the consequence of detaining her in custody when she is willing to participate in the trial and the aim is expeditious disposal of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to surrender before the



learned trial Court on or before 08.01.2026. It is further ordered that upon her surrender and on moving an appropriate application, the learned trial Court shall consider admitting her to bail subject to furnishing of personal and surety bonds to its satisfaction. However, this petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed if the petitioner fails to comply with this order.

- 7. Petition stands disposed of.
- 8. A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court for information and necessary compliance.
- 9. A copy of this order be supplied to learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.
- 10. Till then, the warrants of arrest shall remain stayed and the same be sent to the learned trial Court.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

December 09, 2025
Nisha-1

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No