



CRM-M-66341-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-66341-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.12.2025

JASMAIL SINGH

PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Prince Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case vide FIR No.76 dated 18.07.2025 under Section 108 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station Ghall Khurd, Ferozepur, Tehsil and District Ferozepur, Punjab. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

2. On 27.11.2025, following order was passed:-

“Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 76 dated 18.07.2025, under Section 108 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Ghall Khurd, Ferozepur, Tehsil and District Ferozepur, Punjab. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.

Complainant, brother of deceased lodged the FIR stating that his sister Sarabjit Kaur aged 35 years was married with Harmail Singh son of Mehar Singh, 14 years ago and had two children from him. Three years ago, his brother-in-law Harmail Singh died due to heart attack. Thereafter, his sister started residing in the house of Jasmail Singh son of Meher Singh. His sister executed an agreement of Tractor Trolley Arjun on 19.09.2023 but her sister-in-law Bholi was not allowing Jasmail Singh to pay half of the amount to Sarabjit



Kaur as per agreement. Several times, on asking of his sister, he had asked Jasmail Singh to pay her share of the amount but to no avail. On that account and due to concern for her children, his sister was tense. The day before, on 17.07.2025 at about 11.00 AM, his sister called him up and informed that she had consumed poisonous tablets. She was admitted in Shri Guru Gobind Singh Medical College where she died.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that first marriage of deceased, Sarabjit Kaur was solemnized with Vikramjit Singh and her marriage with Harmail Singh was second one. Her second husband Harmail Singh committed suicide. Deceased remained depressed due to death of her husband and petitioner had no role in the suicide. He further submits that no offence under Section 306 IPC was made out against the petitioner. It is argued that co-accused Sukhwinder Kaur alias Bholi wife of the petitioner against whom similar allegations were levelled, had already been allowed anticipatory bail vide order of this Court dated 04.11.2025 and petitioner too deserved the concession of anticipatory bail on parity.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of Respondent-State. Mr. Nakul Sharma, Advocate appears on his own on behalf of complainant and places on file his power of attorney. The same is taken on record

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for complainant submits that it was not a case of parity as petitioner who was brother-in-law of the deceased had intentionally not paid her Rs.2.5 lakhs settled between the parties on account tractor trolley Arjun. Petitioner was the main accused and did not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

Adjourned to 15.12.2025.

Meanwhile, petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer and join investigation within one week or as and when required. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on interim anticipatory bail on furnishing personal/surety bonds to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023.”



CRM-M-66341-2025 (O&M)

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Resham Singh, concedes that petitioner had joined investigation and recovery has also been effected.
4. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, as enumerated above, but without commenting on merits, order dated 27.11.2025, granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to conditions laid down in Section 482(2) Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

22.12.2025
HS.CHAUHAN

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No